

Solution Exercise 1

Problem 2

$$a) \vec{A} \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{C}) = \vec{B}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{C}) - \vec{C}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B})$$

Looking at the i 'th component of the resulting vector and get

$$\epsilon_{ijk} A_j (\vec{B} \times \vec{C})_k$$

$$= \epsilon_{ijk} A_j \epsilon_{klm} B_l C_m$$

$$= \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{klm} A_j B_l C_m$$

$$= -\epsilon_{kji} \epsilon_{klm} A_j B_l C_m$$

$$= -(\delta_{jl} \delta_{im} - \delta_{jm} \delta_{il}) A_j B_l C_m$$

$$= -A_j B_j C_i + A_j B_i C_j$$

$$= B_i A_j C_j - C_i A_j B_j$$

$$= B_i (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{C}) - C_i (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B})$$

Since this holds for any $i=1,2,3$
we have proved the given relation.

$$b) \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i (\nabla \times \vec{A})_i &= \partial_i \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j A_k \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_i \partial_j A_k \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This follows from the fact that ϵ_{ijk} is anti-symmetric in i and j , while $\partial_i \partial_j$ is symmetric in the same indices. This is in fact a general result that the product of a symmetric and an anti-symmetric tensor equals zero.

In our case the proof goes like this.

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_i \partial_j &= -\epsilon_{jik} \partial_i \partial_j \\ &= -\epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j \partial_i \quad \text{rename } i \leftrightarrow j \\ &= -\epsilon_{ijk} \partial_i \partial_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_i \partial_j = 0$$

$$c) \nabla \times (\nabla \psi) = 0$$

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j \partial_k \psi = 0$$

Again we have a product of a sym. and anti-sym. tensor that is zero for the same reason as under point b)

$$d) \nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 \vec{A}$$

This resembles # a), but make sure that ∂_i operates on something so that the order of the operators may be important.

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j \epsilon_{klm} \partial_l A_m$$

$$= \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{klm} \partial_j \partial_l A_m$$

$$= -(\delta_{jl} \delta_{im} - \delta_{jm} \delta_{il}) \partial_j \partial_l A_m$$

$$= -\partial_j \partial_j A_i + \underbrace{\partial_j \partial_i A_j}_{\partial_i (\partial_j A_j)}$$

$$= \partial_i (\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 A_i$$

$$\underline{e)} \quad \nabla \times \left(\frac{\vec{A}}{g} \right) = \frac{g(\nabla \times \vec{A}) + \vec{A} \times (\nabla g)}{g^2}$$

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j \left(\frac{A_k}{g} \right)$$

$$= \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{g \cdot \partial_j A_k - A_k \cdot \partial_j g}{g^2}$$

$$= \frac{g(\nabla \times \vec{A})_i - \epsilon_{ijk} (\partial_j g) A_k}{g^2}$$

$$= \frac{g(\nabla \times \vec{A})_i - ((\nabla g) \times \vec{A})_i}{g^2}$$

$$= \frac{g(\nabla \times \vec{A})_i + (\vec{A} \times \nabla g)_i}{g^2} ; \begin{matrix} \vec{A} \times \vec{B} \\ = -\vec{B} \times \vec{A} \end{matrix}$$