

8.8 Expectation values in charge-conjugated states.

Remark: The definition makes sense as a comparison with our result $\rho = \psi^\dagger(x)(i\partial_t)\psi(x)$ for the energy density shows.

a.)

$$\langle O \rangle_c = \langle \psi_c | O | \psi_c \rangle = \int d^3x (i\gamma^2 \psi^*)^\dagger O i\gamma^2 \psi^* = \int d^3x \psi^{*\dagger} \underbrace{\gamma^{2\dagger}}_{=-\gamma^2} O \gamma^2 \psi^* \quad (183)$$

$$= - \left(\int d^3x \psi^\dagger (\gamma^2 O \gamma^2)^* \psi \right)^* = - \langle \psi | \gamma^2 O^* \gamma^2 | \psi \rangle^* \quad (184)$$

where we used $\gamma^{2\dagger} = -\gamma^2$ and $\gamma^{2*} = -\gamma^2$.

b.) In the coordinate representation $\mathbf{p} = -i\nabla$ and

$$\langle \mathbf{p} \rangle_c = - \langle \psi | \gamma^2 (+i\nabla) \gamma^2 | \psi \rangle^* = - \langle \psi | (-i\nabla) | \psi \rangle^* \quad (185)$$

$$= - \langle \psi | (-i\nabla) | \psi \rangle = - \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle \quad (186)$$

where we used going from (185) to (186) that the expectation value of \mathbf{p} is real.

c.) Direct calculation of $\gamma^2 \Sigma^* \gamma^2$ gives $\text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{*T}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{*T})$ and thus $\langle \Sigma \rangle_c = -\langle \Sigma \rangle$.